

## ANALGESIC AND ANTI-INFLAMMATORY ACTIVITIES OF BARK AND LEAF EXTRACTS OF *KUNSTLERIA KERALENSIS*

Kumar MD<sup>1</sup>\*, Sathishkumar Shetty A<sup>1</sup>, Satyanarayan ND<sup>2</sup>, Vijay kumar ML<sup>3</sup>, Vasudeva Nayak P<sup>4</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, NES Academy of Research and Development, NES Campus, Shivamogga-577201, Karnataka, India.
<sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Kuvempu University, P.G-centre, Kadur-577548, Chikkamangalore district, Karnataka, India.
<sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology, National College of Pharmacy, BalarajUrs Road, Shivamogga-577201, Karnataka, India.
<sup>4</sup>Department of P.G studies and Research, Chemistry, Kuvempu University, Shankarghatta-577451, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India.

## ABSTRACT

The hexane, chloroform and methanolic extracts of bark and leaf of the plant *"Kunstleria keralensis"* belonging to the family Fabaceae were screened for analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity. The analgesic activity was evaluated by tail flick and hot plate method. The anti-inflammatory activity was evaluated by Carrageenan induced paw edema method. The hexane extract (HB) and methanol extract (MB) of bark showed significant analgesic and anti- inflammatory activity at prefixed time. The chloroform extract (CB) of bark and hexane extract (HL) of leaf showed moderate analgesic and anti- inflammatory activity at prefixed time. The chloroform extract (CL) of leaf and methanol extract (ML) showed in significant analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity.

Keywords: Carrageenan, Analgesic, Anti-inflammatory, Kunstleria keralensis, Tail flick.

## INTRODUCTION

Medicinal plants are used by tribal and rural population of India for their healthcare as well as for the health of their livestock. According to WHO still about 80% of the world population rely mainly on plant based drugs as they are cheap and no side effects [1]. Synthetic drugs that are currently used for the management of pain are opioids or nonopioids and for inflammatory conditions are non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and cortico steroids. All these drugs carry potential toxic effects and pose several health problems during their clinical use [2]. Hence, search for new and more effective drugs with fewer side effects is necessary [3]. Medicines of plant origin had been used since ages without any adverse effects. It is necessary to find new medicinal plants to develop more effective and cheaper drugs that might serve as lead molecues in the development of plant based analgesic and anti-inflammatory drugs [4].

Kunstleria keralensis is a flowering plant belongs to the family Fabaceae, found in evergreen and semi evergreen forest in the Southern Western Ghats of India. It is mainly distributed in the districts of Kerala such as Kannur. Thiruvananthapuram, Thissur, Pallakad. Mallapuram, Kasaragod and certain parts of Karnataka [5]. It is reported that the bark of the plant Kunstleria keralensisis used as a medicine by the tribal people of keral to heal the body pain and also had antifertility activity [6-8]. In view of its medicinal properties, in the present study the solvent extracts of bark and leaf materials of Kunstleria keralensis were screened for analgesic and antiinflammatory activity.

59

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Collection and authentication of plant

The bark and leaves of Kunstleria keralensis were collected in the month of January 2012 in Agumbe forest region. The materials were shade dried, powdered and stored in air tight containers. The plant was identified and authenticated by botanist Dr. K.G. Bhat, Professor in botany, Poornapragna first grade college, Udupi, Karnataka. The herbarium of the identified plant was submitted to the Department prepared and of Pharmacognosy, National College of Pharmacy, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India. The specimen number of the herbarium is NCP-14-2012-13 dated 22-11-12.

# Preparation of plant extracts and evaluation of phytochemical tests

The bark and leaf extracts were made using the solvents hexane, chloroform and methanol by hot soxhlet and cold maceration methods [9-13]. The test samples were prepared and labeled the hexane extract as HB, chloroform extract as CB and methanol extract as MB. Similarly, the test samples of leaf extracts were also prepared and labeled the hexane extract as HL, chloroform extracts as CL and methnolic extract as ML respectively. The test samples of bark and leaf were analysed for various phytochemical constituents. The presence of various phytochemical constituents in these test samples has been reported earlier [14].

## **Experimental animals**

Wistar albino rats weighing between 150-200 grams (g) and mice weighing between 25-30g of either sex were used. The animals were procured from the Central animal house, National college of Pharmacy, Balrajurs road, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India and the experimental protocol was approved by Institutional Animal Ethical Committee (Ref. No. NCP/IAEC/CL/02/ 12/2010-11) prior to the experiments. The animals were made to fast overnight for acute toxicity test. For analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity, the animals were made to fast for 3-4 hours prior to the experiment.

## Acute toxicity Studies

Acute toxicity was evaluated on female Swiss albino mice weighing between 25-30g. The fixed dose method was adopted as per OECD Guideline No.423 of CPCSEA. The dose fixed was 250 and 500mg/kg body weight ie,  $\frac{1}{20th}$  and  $\frac{1}{10th}$  of the therapeutic dose [15-17].

## Analgesic activity Tail flick method

The test samples prepared from bark extract (HB, CB and MB) and leaf extract (HL, CL and ML) were tested for their analgesic activity by tail flick method. The evaluation parameter was tail flick by the mice on dipping the tail into hot water kept at  $55\pm1^{\circ}$ C in an analgesiometer.

Wistar albino mice weighing between 25-30g of either sex were used for the study. The animals were divided into 15 groups of 6 animals each. Group I was administered with 1ml of distilled water which served as control. Group II was administered with 1ml of 1% Tween-80 which served as vehicle. Group III was administered with diclofenac sodium (10mg/kg body weight) which served as standard. The test samples of bark HB, CB and MB were administered at 250mg/kg body weight to group IV, VI, VIII and at 500mg/kg body weight to group V, VII and IX respectively. Similarly, the test samples of leaf HL, CL and ML were administered at 250mg/kg body weight to group X, XII, XIV and at 500mg/kg body weight to group XI, XIII and XV respectively. All the test samples were prepared in sterile water and administered orally. The initial reading of tail flick was taken before administration of the test samples to the animals by exposing the tip of the tail (1-2 cm) to hot water. The reaction time of tail flick was measured after administration of test samples at every 30 minutes intervals upto 150 minutes [19-20].

## Hot plate method

The test samples were also tested for their analgesic activity by hot plate method. The evaluation parameters were latency time for paw licking and jumping responses of mice on exposure to the hot plate surface, kept at 55±1°C. Wistar albino mice weighing between 25-30g of either sex were used for the experiment. The animals were divided into 15 groups of 6 animals each. Group I was administered with 1ml of distilled water which served as control. Group II was administered with 1ml of 1% Tween-80 which served as vehicle. Group III was administered with diclofenac sodium (10mg/kg body weight) which served as standard. The test samples of bark HB, CB and MB were administered at 250mg/kg body weight to group IV, VI, VIII and at 500mg/kg body weight to group V, VII and IX respectively. Similarly, the test samples of leaf HL, CL and ML were administered at 250mg/kg body weight to group X, XII, XIV and at 500mg/kg body weight to group XI, XIII and XV respectively. All the test samples were prepared in sterile water and administered orally. The initial reading of Paw licking was taken before administration of the test samples to the animals by placing the rat on hot plate. The reaction time of paw licking was measured after administration of test samples at every 30 minutes intervals upto150 minutes [21,22].

## Anti-inflammatory activityby Carrageenan-induced rat paw edema method

The test samples prepared from bark extract (HB, CB and MB) and leaf extract (HL, CL and ML) were tested for their anti-inflammatory activity by carrageenaninduced rat paw edema method. The test was evaluated by measuring the paw volume of the rats plethysmographically. Wistar albino rats weighing between 150-200g of either sex were used for the experiment. The animals were divided into 15 groups of 6 animals each. Group I was administered with 1ml of distilled water which served as control. Group II was administered with 1ml of 1% Tween-80 which served as vehicle. Group III was administered with diclofenac sodium (10mg/kg body weight) which served as standard. The test samples of bark HB, CB and MB were administered at 250mg/kg body weight to group IV, VI, VIII and at 500mg/kg body weight was administered to group V, VII and IX respectively. Similarly, the test samples of leaf HL, CL and ML were administered at 250mg/kg body weight to group X, XII, XIV and at 500mg/kg body weight to group XI, XIII and XV respectively. All the test samples were prepared by sterile water and were administered orally. After 30 mins of administration of the test samples and standard, 0.1 ml of 1% suspension of carrageenan was administered at subplantar region of hind paws of all rats to induce edema. The initial reading of paw edema was taken soon after the administration of the carrageenan. The reduction in the Paw volume of control, standard and test group animals were measured using mercury displacement method (plethysmographically) at every 1hour upto 3 hours [23-24].

## Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was carried out by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA). All the data were presented as Mean  $\pm$  SEM.

### RESULTS

#### Acute toxicity test

The test samples prepared from bark extract (HB, CB and MB) and leaf extract (HL, CL and ML) exhibited no death of test animals at maximum dose of 5000mg/kg body weight. Hence, the  $1/_{20th}$  and  $1/_{10th}$  concentration of 5000mg ie, 250mg and 500mg/kg body weight was considered as therapeutic dose for all pharmacological activities.

## Analgesic activity by Tail flick method Bark extracts

The HB and MB test samples administered to the mice showed analgesic activity by exhibiting increase in time of  $6.82 \pm 0.04$  and  $6.80 \pm 0.04$  seconds respectively for tail flick response after 90 minutes of administration at 500mg/kg body weight when compared to control tail flick response time of  $2.08 \pm 0.04$  seconds. The tail flick response time exhibited by test samples were found significant in comparision to standard drug diclofenac sodium response time  $8.22 \pm 0.02$  seconds after 90 minutes at 500mg/kg body weight. The CB test sample showed comparatively less significant activity than HB and MB with a tail flick response time of  $4.58 \pm 0.04$  seconds after 120 minutes of administration at 500mg/kg body weight. The analgesic activity was initiated after 1 hour of

administration for all the test samples. The activity of HB and MB test samples decreased after 90 minutes whereas for CB test sample, the decrease in activity was found after 120 minutes of administration. All the test samples showed less analgesic activity at a dose of 250mg/kg body weight when compare to 500mg/kg body weight. The results obtained for the test samples of bark are shown in table 1.

#### Leaf extracts

The HL test sample administered to the mice showed analgesic activity by exhibiting increase in time of  $3.42 \pm 0.04$  seconds for tail flick response after 60 minutes of administration at 500mg/kg body weight when compared to control tail flick response time of 2.08±0.04 seconds. The tail flick response time exhibited by test sample HL was found moderately significant in comparision to standard drug diclofenac sodium response time 6.74 ±0.04 seconds after 60 minutes at 500mg/kg body weight. The activity of HL was initiated after 30 minutes of administration and decreased after 60 minutes. It showed less analgesic activity at a dose of 250mg/kg body weight when compare to 500mg/kg body weight. The test samples CL and ML did not exhibited the analgesic activity. The results obtained for the test samples of leaf are shown in table 2.

#### Analgesic activity by Hot plate methodBark extracts

The HB and MB test samples administered to the mice showed analgesic activity by exhibiting increase in time of 6.80  $\pm$ 0.04 and 6.77  $\pm$  0.04 seconds respectively for paw licking response after 90 minutes of administration at 500mg/kg body weight when compared to control paw licking response time of  $2.08 \pm 0.04$  seconds. The paw licking response time exhibited by test samples were found significant in comparision to standard drug diclofenac sodium response time  $8.22 \pm 0.02$  seconds after 90 minutes at 500mg/kg body weight. The CB test sample showed comparatively less significant activity than HB and MB with paw licking response time of  $4.56 \pm 0.04$  seconds after 120 minutes of administration at 500mg/kg body weight. The analgesic activity was initiated after 1 hour of administration for all the test samples. The activity of HB and MB test samples decreased after 90 minutes whereas for CB test sample, the decrease in activity was found after 120 minutes of administration. All the test samples showed less analgesic activity at a dose of 250mg/kg body weight when compare to 500mg/kg body weight. The results obtained for the test samples of bark are shown in table 3.

#### Leaf extracts

The HL test sample administered to the mice showed analgesic activity by exhibiting increase in time of  $3.40 \pm 0.04$  seconds for paw licking response after 60 minutes of administration at 500mg/kg body weight when compared to control paw licking response time of  $2.08\pm0.04$  seconds. The tail flick response time exhibited

by test sample HL was found moderately significant in comparision to standard drug diclofenac sodium response time 6.74  $\pm$ 0.04 seconds after 60 mins at 500mg/kg body weight. The activity of HL was initiated after 30 minutes of administration and decreased after 60 minutes. It showed less analgesic activity at a dose of 250mg/kg body weight when compare to 500mg/kg body weight. The test samples CL and ML did not exhibit the analgesic activity. The results obtained for the test samples of leaf are shown in table 4.

## Anti-inflammatory activity by Carrageenan induced paw edema method Bark extracts

The HB and MB test samples administered to the rat showed anti-inflammatory activity by exhibiting decrease in paw volume of  $0.32 \pm 0.02$  and  $0.35 \pm 0.02$  ml displacement of mercury respectively after 90 minutes of administration at 500mg/kg body weight when compared to control paw edema of  $0.86 \pm 0.04$  ml. The decreased paw volume response time exhibited by test samples were found significant in comparison to standard drug diclofenac sodium of  $0.22 \pm 0.02$  ml after 90 minutes at 500mg/kg body weight. The CB test sample showed comparatively less significant activity than HB and MB

with decreased paw volume of  $0.44 \pm 0.02$  ml after 60 minutes of administration at 500mg/kg body weight. The anti-inflammatory activity was initiated after 1 hour of administration and decreased after 90 minutes for all the test samples. All the test samples showed less anti-inflammatory activity at a dose of 250mg/kg body weight when compare to 500mg/kg body weight. The results obtained for the test samples of bark are shown in table 5. **Leaf extracts** 

The HL test sample administered to the rat showed anti-inflammatory activity by exhibiting decrease in paw volume of  $0.46 \pm 0.02$  ml displacement of mercury after 60 minutes of administration at 500mg/kg body weight when compared to control paw volume response of  $0.84 \pm 0.03$  ml. The decrease in paw volume response time exhibited by test sample HL found significant in comparison to standard drug diclofenac sodium of 0.30  $\pm$ 0.02 ml after 60 minutes at 500mg/kg body weight. The activity of HL test sample initiated after 30 minutes of administration and decreased after 60 minutes. It showed less anti-inflammatory activity at a dose of 250mg/kg body weight when compare to 500mg/kg body weight. The test samples CL and ML did not exhibit the anti-inflammatory activity. The results obtained for the test samples of leaf are shown in table 6.

Table 1. Analgesic activity of test samples of bark of Kunstleria keralensis by tail flick method

	Dose	Reaction time in seconds								
Drugs	(mg/kg) body weight	0 min	30 mins	60 mins	90 mins	120 mins	150mins			
Group-I Control) Water		2.08 ±0.04	2.07 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.04	2.08 ±0.04	2.07 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.04			
Group-II (Vehicle) Tween-80	0.1%	2.07 ±0.02	2.07 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.04	2.08 ±0.04	2.07 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.02			
Group-III (Standard) Diclofenac sodium	5	2.07 ±0.02	5.62 ±0.02	6.74 ±0.04	8.22 ±0.02	7.82 ±0.02	6.78 ±0.04			
Group-IV HB	250	2.08 ±0.03	2.14 ±0.02	$2.64 \pm 0.02$	$3.59 \pm 0.04$	3.12 ±0.03	2.32 ±0.04			
Group-V	500	$2.07 \pm 0.04$	$2.28 \pm 0.02$	$4.00 \pm 0.04$	$6.82 \pm 0.04$	4.02 ±0.02	3.22 ±0.03			
Group-VI CB	250	$2.08 \pm 0.02$	$2.08 \pm 0.02$	$2.20 \pm 0.04$	$2.88 \pm 0.03$	2.98 ±0.04	2.28 ±0.03			
Group-VII	500	$2.08 \pm 0.02$	2.18 ±0.02	$2.68 \pm 0.04$	$3.48 \pm 0.04$	$4.58 \pm 0.04$	2.98 ±0.03			
Group-VIII MB	250	2.08 ±0.03	2.14 ±0.02	$2.65 \pm 0.02$	3.58 ±0.04	3.14 ±0.03	2.34 ±0.04			
Group-IX	500	$2.07 \pm 0.04$	$2.27 \pm 0.02$	4.03 ±0.04	$6.80 \pm 0.04$	4.04 ±0.02	$3.20 \pm 0.03$			

Values are mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 6.

Note: HB (Hexane extract of bark), CB (Chloroform extract of bark), MB (Methanolic extract of bark).

Table 2. Analgesic activity of test samples of leaf of	f <i>Kunstleria keralensis</i> by tail flick method
--	---

	Dose	Reaction time in seconds							
Drugs	(mg/kg) body weight	0 min	30 mins	60 mins	90 mins	120 mins	150mins		
Group-I (Control) Water		2.08 ±0.04	$2.07 \pm 0.02$	2.08 ±0.04	2.08 ±0.04	2.07 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.04		
Group-II (Vehicle) Tween-80	0.1%	2.07 ±0.02	2.07 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.04	2.08 ±0.04	2.07 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.02		
Group-III (Standard)	5	2.07 ±0.02	5.62 ±0.02	6.74 ±0.04	8.22 ±0.02	7.82 ±0.02	6.78 ±0.04		

## Kumar MD .et al. / Vol 6 / Issue 1 / 2016 / 59-66.

Diclofenac sodium							
Group-X HL	250	2.08 ±0.04	$2.08 \pm 0.02$	2.48 ±0.03	2.67 ±0.04	2.38 ±0.04	2.10 ±0.03
Group-XI	500	2.08 ±0.04	$2.18 \pm 0.02$	$3.42 \pm 0.04$	3.12 ±0.04	$2.64 \pm 0.04$	2.14 ±0.03
Group-XII CL	250	2.07 ±0.04	2.07 ±0.03	$2.16 \pm 0.02$	2.12 ±0.03	2.10 ±0.09	2.08 ±0.09
Group-XIII	500	2.07 ±0.03	2.07 ±0.03	2.21 ±0.04	2.20 ±0.02	$2.09 \pm 0.04$	2.08 ±0.04
Group-XIV ML	250	2.08 ±0.04	2.07 ±0.02	2.10 ±0.04	2.11 ±0.03	2.08 ±0.03	2.08 ±0.09
Group-XV	500	2.08 ±0.04	$2.08 \pm 0.02$	$2.20 \pm 0.04$	2.18 ±0.02	2.18 ±0.04	2.10 ±0.04

Values are mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 6.

Note: HL (Hexane extract of Leaf), CL (Chloroform extract of Leaf), ML (Methanolic extract of Leaf).

Table 3. Analgesic activit	y of test samp	oles of bark of	Kunstleria k	<i>ceralensis</i> by	y hot	plate method
	/ <b>I</b>					4

	Dose Reaction time in seconds							
Drugs	(mg/kg) body weight	0 min	30 mins	60 mins	90 mins	120 mins	150mins	
Group-I (Control) Water		2.08 ±0.04	2.07 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.04	$2.08 \pm 0.04$	2.07 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.04	
Group-II (Vehicle) Tween-80	0.1%	2.07 ±0.02	2.07 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.04	$2.08 \pm 0.04$	2.07 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.02	
Group-III (Standard) Diclofenac sodium	5	2.07 ±0.02	5.62 ±0.02	6.74 ±0.04	8.22 ±0.02	7.82 ±0.02	6.78 ±0.04	
Group-IV HB Group-V	250 500	2.08 ±0.03 2.07 ±0.04	2.12 ±0.02 2.28 ±0.02	2.62 ±0.02 3.98 ±0.04	3.59 ±0.04 6.80 ±0.04	3.14 ±0.03 4.02 ±0.02	2.32 ±0.04 3.23 ±0.03	
Group-VI CB Group-VII	250 500	2.08 ±0.02 2.08 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.02 2.16 ±0.02	2.22 ±0.04 2.68 ±0.04	2.85 ±0.03 3.46 ±0.04	2.95 ±0.04 4.56 ±0.04	2.26 ±0.03 2.98 ±0.03	
Group-VIII MB Group-IX	250 500	2.08 ±0.03 2.07 ±0.04	2.13 ±0.02 2.27 ±0.02	2.63 ±0.02 4.02 ±0.04	3.60 ±0.04 6.77 ±0.04	3.12 ±0.03 4.06 ±0.02	2.35 ±0.04 3.18 ±0.03	

Values are mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 6.

Note: HB (Hexane extract of bark), CB (Chloroform extract of bark), MB (Methanolic extract of bark).

Table 4. Analgesic activity of test samples of leaf of Kunstleria keralensis by hot plate method

Drugs	Dose		Reaction time in seconds							
Drugs	(mg/kg) body weight	0 min	30 mins	60 mins	90 mins	120 mins	150mins			
Group-I (Control) Water		2.08 ±0.04	2.07 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.04	2.08 ±0.04	2.07 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.04			
Group-II (Vehicle) Tween-80	0.1%	2.07 ±0.02	2.07 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.04	2.08 ±0.04	2.07 ±0.02	2.08 ±0.02			
Group-III (Standard) Diclofenac sodium	5	2.07 ±0.02	5.62 ±0.02	6.74 ±0.04	8.22 ±0.02	7.82 ±0.02	6.78 ±0.04			
Group-X HL	250	2.08 ±0.04	2.08 ±0.02	2.45 ±0.03	2.65 ±0.04	$2.38 \pm 0.04$	2.09 ±0.03			
Group-XI	500	2.08 ±0.04	2.16 ±0.02	$3.42 \pm 0.04$	$3.12 \pm 0.04$	$2.65 \pm 0.04$	2.14 ±0.03			
Group-XII CL	250	2.07 ±0.04	2.08 ±0.03	2.15 ±0.02	$2.10 \pm 0.03$	$2.08 \pm 0.09$	2.08 ±0.09			
Group-XIII	500	2.07 ±0.03	2.08 ±0.03	$2.23 \pm 0.04$	$2.20 \pm 0.02$	$2.09 \pm 0.04$	$2.08 \pm 0.04$			
Group-XIV ML	250	2.08 ±0.04	2.07 ±0.02	$2.09 \pm 0.04$	2.11 ±0.03	2.07 ±0.03	2.07 ±0.09			
Group-XV	500	2.08 ±0.04	$2.08 \pm 0.02$	$2.18 \pm 0.04$	$2.18 \pm 0.02$	$2.18 \pm 0.04$	$2.10 \pm 0.04$			

Values are mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 6.

Note: HL (Hexane extract of Leaf), CL (Chloroform extract of Leaf), ML (Methanolic extract of Leaf).

Table 5. Anti-inflammatory activity of test samples of bark	of Kunstleria keralensis b	y Carrageenan induced p	aw edema
method			

	Dose		Reaction time in	n seconds with	% of inhibition	
Drugs	(mg/kg) body weight	30 mins	60 mins	90 mins	120 mins	150 mins
Group-I (Control) Water		0.72 ±0.02	0.84 ±0.03	0.86 ±0.04	0.92 ±0.02	0.94 ±0.02

Group-II (Vehicle) Tween-80	0.1%	$0.72 \pm 0.02$	0.84 ±0.03	0.85 ±0.04	$0.92\pm\!\!0.02$	0.93 ±0.02
Group-III (Standard)	5	$0.56 \pm 0.02$	$0.30 \pm 0.02$	$0.22 \pm 0.02$	$0.20 \pm 0.04$	$0.26 \pm 0.02$
Diclofenac sodium	5	(22.23%)	(64.28%)	(74.41%)	(78.26%)	(68.08%)
	250	$0.68 \pm 0.02$	$0.66 \pm 0.01$	$0.60 \pm 0.02$	$0.64 \pm 0.04$	$0.64 \pm 0.03$
Group-IV HB	230	(5.5%)	(21.42%)	(30.23%)	(30.43%)	(31.91%)
Group-V	500	$0.62 \pm 0.02$	$0.40 \pm 0.02$	$0.32 \pm 0.02$	$0.45 \pm 0.03$	$0.49 \pm 0.02$
_	300	(13.88%)	(52.38%)	(62.79%)	(51.08%)	(47.87%)
	250	$0.74 \pm 0.02$	$0.70 \pm 0.01$	$0.68 \pm 0.02$	$0.67 \pm 0.04$	$0.70 \pm 0.03$
Group-VI CB	230	(-2.7%)	(16.64%)	(20.93%)	(31.08%)	(25.23%)
Group-VII	500	$0.70 \pm 0.02$	$0.52 \pm 0.02$	$0.44 \pm 0.02$	$0.50 \pm 0.03$	$0.51 \pm 0.02$
	500	(2.76%)	(38.09%)	(51.16%)	(41.88%)	(42.53%)
	250	$0.67 \pm 0.02$	$0.66 \pm 0.01$	$0.62 \pm 0.02$	$0.64 \pm 0.04$	$0.66 \pm 0.03$
Group-VIII MB Group-IX	230	(6.94%)	(21.42%)	(27.90%)	(30.43%)	(31.25%)
	500	$0.64 \pm 0.02$	$0.44 \pm 0.02$	$0.35 \pm 0.02$	$0.47 \pm 0.03$	$0.50 \pm 0.02$
-	500	(11.12%)	(37.61%)	(59.30%)	(48.69%)	(46.80%)

Values are mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 6.

Note: HB (Hexane extract of bark), CB (Chloroform extract of bark), MB (Methanolic extract of bark).

Drugs	Dose		<b>Reaction time in seconds with % of inhibition</b>					
Diugs	(mg/kg) body weight	30 mins	60 mins	90 mins	120 mins	150 mins		
Group-I (Control) Water		0.72 ±0.02	0.84 ±0.03	0.86 ±0.04	0.92 ±0.02	0.94 ±0.02		
Group-II (Vehicle) Tween-80	0.1%	0.72 ±0.02	0.84 ±0.03	0.85 ±0.04	0.92 ±0.02	0.93 ±0.02		
Group-III (Standard) Diclofenac sodium	5	0.56 ±0.02 (22.23%)	0.30 ±0.02 (64.28%)	0.22 ±0.02 (74.41%)	0.20 ±0.04 (78.26%)	0.26 ±0.02 (68.08%)		
Group-X HL	250	0.76 ±0.02 (-5.5%)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.66 \pm 0.01 \\ (21.42\%) \\ 0.46 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.64 \pm 0.02 \\ (25.58\%) \\ 0.48 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	0.71 ±0.04 (26.08%)	0.74 ±0.03 (13.51%) 0.70 ±0.02		
Group-AI	500	(0.00%)	(38.09%)	(46.51%)	(28.06%)	(25.53%)		
Group-XII CL	250	0.78 ±0.02 (-8.32%)	0.80 ±0.01 (4.76%)	0.78 ±0.02 (9.30%)	0.88 ±0.04 (7.02%)	0.86 ±0.03 (6.94%)		
Group-XIII	500	0.77 ±0.02 (-6.32%)	0.78 ±0.02 (7.14%)	0.76 ±0.02 (11.62%)	0.82 ±0.03 (10.86%)	0.82 ±0.02 (10.62%)		
Group-XIV ML	250	0.79 ±0.02 (-8.12%)	0.82 ±0.01 (2.38%)	0.75 ±0.02 (12.62%)	0.82 ±0.04 (10.86%)	0.82 ±0.03 (10.97%)		
Group-XV	500	0.78 ±0.02 (-6.15%)	0.80 ±0.02 (5.32%)	0.72 ±0.02 (16.32%)	0.80 ±0.03 (13.04%)	0.81 ±0.02 (13.36%)		

Table 6. Anti-inflammatory activity of test samples of leaf of Kunstleria keralensis by Carrageenan paw edema method

Values are mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 6.

Note: HL (Hexane extract of Leaf), CL (Chloroform extract of Leaf), ML (Methanolic extract of Leaf).

## DISCUSSION

In the present study the test samples of bark and leaf extracts of plant *Kunsleria keralensis* belongs to the family Fabaceae were tested for analgesic and antiinflammatory activities. Several reports are available on many plant species belonging to the presently studied family Fabaceae with analgesic and anti-inflammatory activities. The anti-inflammatory activity has also been reported for bark and leaf extracts of many plants like, *Desmodium triflorum, Pterocae puserinaceus* and *Crotalaria burhia* of the same family [25-27]. Apart from anti-inflammatory activity, many plants of Fabaceae like *Pongamia pinnata, Dalber giasissoo, Acacia suma, Pterocarpus santalinoides, Cajanuscajan* and *Brachystegianeurycoma* of Fabaceae have been reported with significant analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity [28-33]. However, analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity has not been reported for the metabolites of the plant *Kunsleria keralensis.* Hence, in the present study the extracts of *Kunsleria keralensis* has been evaluated for these activities.

In the present study analgesic activity was evaluated by tail flick and hot plate method. The test samples HB and MB of bark extract exhibited significant analgesic activity with 82.96% in comparison with standard at 500mg/kg body weight. The test sample CB exhibited moderately significant analgesic activity with 58.56% at 500mg/kg body weight. The test sample HL of leaf extract also exhibited moderately significant analgesic activity with 50.74% at 500mg/kg body weight. Whereas, the test samples CL and ML exhibited insignificant analgesic activity.

In the present study anti-inflammatory activity was evaluated by paw edema method. The percentage of inhibition of paw edema of standard and test samples were compared with control. The test sample HB of bark extract exhibited anti-inflammatory activity with 62.79%. This was found to be significant in comparision with standard which exhibited 78.26% of paw edema. The test sample MB exhibited significant anti-inflammatory activity with 59.30% followed by other test samples CB and HL which exhibited 51.16% and 46.51% respectively at 500mg/kg body weight. The test samples CL and ML exhibited insignificant anti-inflammatory activity.

Though both the bark and leaf extracts showed analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity, the bark extracts exhibited comparatively more analgesic and anti65

reports on alkaloids, flavonoids, steroids and triterpenoids exhibiting analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity [32-35]. The phytochemical investigation has showed the presence of alkaloids, flavonoids, steroids and triterpenoids in the presently tested sample. The analgesic and antiinflammatory activity may be due the presence of these constituents.

## CONCLUSION

The test samples of bark extract HB and MB exhibited significant analgesic andanti-inflammatory activity. The test sample CB and HL exhibited moderately significant activity. The test samples CL and ML did not exhibit analgesic or anti-inflammatory activity. The further isolation, purification and the spectral analysis of pure compounds may provide a potential analgesic and antiinflammatory lead molecule. The test samples can be evaluated further for other pharmacological properties which may be useful in designing of new drugs.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We sincerely thank Vision Group of Science and Technology, Government of Karnataka for granting fund under CISE to procure the analytical instruments to carry out the proposed research work.

## REFERENCES

- Zulfiker AHM, Rahman MM, Hossain MK, Hamid K, Mazumder MEH and Rana MS.In-vivo analgesic activity of 1 ethanolic extracts of two medicinal plants - ScopariadulcisL and FicusracemosaLinn. Journal of Biology and Medicine, 2(2), 2010, 42-8.
- 2. VittalraoAM, shanbhag T, Meenakumari K, Bairy KL and Shenoy S. Evaluation of Anti-inflammatory and Analgesic activities of alcoholic extract of Kaempferiagalangain rats. Indian Journal of Physiological Pharmacology, 55(1), 2011, 13 - 24.
- 3. Mishra US, Murthy PN and Parida SK. Analgesic and anti-inflammatory activities of Indian medicinal plant Ziziphusxylopyrusstem barks in experimental animal models. Elixir International Journal, 44, 2012, 7265-70.
- 4. Sengupta R, Sheorey SD and Hinge MA.Analgesic and Anti-inflammatory plants. An updated review. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research, 12(3), 2012, 114-9.
- Mohanan CN and Nair NC. Kunstleriaprain- A new genus record for India and a new species in the genus. Proceeding of 5. the Indian Academy of Science, 90, 1981, 207-9.
- Binu S. Medicinal plants used for treating body pain by the tribals in Pathanamthitta district, Kerala, India. Indian Journal 6. of Traditional knowledge, 10, 2011, 547-9.
- Goel KA. Development and poverty alleviation. National conference on biodiversity, 1, 2010, 100-1. 7.
- Afroz S, Hossain I, Khan T, Eusufzai, Islam S, Jabin D, Rahman S and Rahmatullah M. Antinociceptive Activity 8 Evaluation of an Indonesian Herbal Preparation ClengMarem. Advances in Natural and Applied Sciences, 8(2), 2014, 75-81.
- 9. Harwood, Laurence M, Moody and Christopher J. Experimental organic Chemistry Principles and Practice (Illustrated edition), 1989, 122–5.
- 10. Jensen and William B. The origin of the soxhlet extractor. Journal of Chemical Education, 84, 2007, 1913–14.
- 11. Bandar HA, Rammal H, Hachem A, Saad Z and Badran B. Techniques for the extraction of bioactive compounds from Lebanese urticadioica. American Journal of Phytomedicine and Clinical Therapeutics, 6, 2013, 507-13.
- 12. Patil AG, Koli SP, Patil DA and Phatak AV. Evaluation of extraction techniques with various solvents to determine extraction efficiency of selected medicinal plants. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research, 3, 2012, 2607-12.

- 13. Kumar MD, Shetty AS, Sathyanarayan ND, Vijaykumar ML, Kuppast IJ and Pai KV. Phytochemical Investigation and Evaluation of the Antimicrobial and Antitubercular Activity of *Kunstleria keralensis*. *World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*,4(2), 2014, 278 -93.
- 14. Lawal AR, Agunu A, Ibrahim H and Ibrahim KSV.Acute Toxicity and Pharmacognostic Studies of the Root Bark of *Acacia albida*(Fabaceae). *Nigerian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 11(1), 2012, 31-8.
- 15. Singh P, Shrivastava R, Sharma M and Singh M. Invivo antitumor, antioxidant activities and toxicity profile of ethyl acetate crude leaf extract of *Parkinsonia aculeate* L. (Fabaceae) on B16F10 Melanoma. *International Research Journal of Pharmacy*, 4(10), 2013, 89-93.
- 16. Ghosh MN. Fundamentals of experimental Pharmacology, Toxicity studies. Scientific Book agency, Calcutta, (2), 1989, 144-52.
- Oliveira RRB, Gois RMO, Siqueira RS, Almeida JRGS., Lima JT, Nunes XP, Oliveira VR, Siqueira JS and Quintans-Júnior LJ. Antinociceptive effect of the ethanolic extract of *Amburanacearensis*, Fabaceae in rodents. *Brazilian Journal of Pharmacognosy*, 19(3), 2009, 672-76.
- 18. Kumar RS, Rajkapoor B and Perumal P. Anti-inflammatory and anti-nociceptive activities of methanolic leaf extract of *Indigoferacassioides*Rottl. *Journal of Acute Disease*, 13, 2013, 322-6.
- 19. Fischer LG, Leitao R, Etcheverry SR, Buzzi FDC, Vazquez AA, Heinzen HA and Filho CV. Analgesic properties of extracts and fractions from *Erythrina crista-galli* (Fabaceae) leaves. *Pub Med journal*, 21(8), 2007, 759-66.
- Bhalke RD, Anarthe SJ, Sasane KD, Satpute SN, Shinde SN and Sangle VS. Antinociceptive activity of *Trigonellafoenum-graecum*Leaves and Seeds (Fabaceae). *Iranian Journal of Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, (8), 2009, 57-9.
- 21. Barros WM, Rao VSN, Silva RM, Lima JCSand Martins DTO. Anti-inflammatory effect of the ethanolic extract from *Bowdichiavirgilioidesstem bark.Journal of Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, 82(3), 2007, 609-16.
- 22. Vikram PK, Malvi R and Jain DK. Evaluation of analgesic and anti-inflammatory Potential of *Mimosa pudica* Linn.International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Research, 4(4), 2012, 47-50.
- Lai SC,Peng WH,Huang SC, Hoyl G, Huang TH, Lai ZRand Chang YS. Analgesic and anti-Inflammatory activities of methanol extract from *Desmodiumtriflorum*DC in Mice. *The American Journal of Chinese Medicine*, 37(3), 2009, 573–88.
- Noufou O, Wamtinga SR, Andre T, Christine B, Marius L, Emmanuelle HA, Jean K, Genevieve MD and Pierre GI. Pharmacological properties and related constituents of stem bark of PterocarpuserinaceusPoir (Fabaceae). Asian Journal of Tropical Medicines, 5(1), 2012, 46-51.
- 25. Patil SB, Naikwade NS, Magdum CS and Awale VB. Centrally acting analgesic activity and CNS depressant activity of *CajanuscajanLinn. Asian Journal of Research in Pharma Sciences*, 1(2), 2011, 50-1.
- Venkatrao N, Nagaratna PKM, Satyanarayana S, Hemamalini K and Kumar SMS. Antiulcer, anti- inflammatory and analgesic activities of Leaf extracts of *Ponganiapinnata* (Fabaceae). *Journal of Pharmacology*, (1), 2014, 529-38.
- 27. Islam MU and Elhddad S. Phytochemical investigation and evaluation of analgesic activity of ethonolic extract of *Dalbergiasissoo*(Roxb) bark. *Journal of Natural Product and Plant Resources*, 2(6), 2012, 701-4.
- Acharyya S, Sundeepkumar HK, Rathore DS and Bhunia SN. Anti-inflammatory and analgesic activity of methanol extract of bark of *Acacia suma* (Roxb). *International Journal of Pharmacy & Life Sciences*, 2(3), 2011, 601-5.
- 29. Anowi CF, Umeokoli BO, Onyegbule AF, Okonkwo C and Chibeze I. Analgesic, Phytochemical and acute toxicity evaluation of the methanol extract of the leaves of *Pterocarpus santalinoides* (Fabacea). *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*, 3(7), 2012, 2018-23.
- 30. Kataria S, Shrivastava B, Kaur D and Sharma P. Anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive activities of *Crotalaria burhia* Buch, Whole plant. *Indian Journal of Natural Products and Resources*, 3(2), 2012, 189-96.
- 31. Igbe I, Ayinde BA and Izuchukwu A. Anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects of the methanol stem bark extract of *Brachystegiaeurycoma* Harms (Fabaceae). *European Journal of Medicinal Plants*, 2(4), 2012, 356-65.
- Sinatra RS, Jahr JS and Pitchford JMW. The essence of analgesia and analgesics. *Cambridge University Press*, 2010, 82–90.
- 33. Goda Y, Katayama M, Ichikawa K, Shibuya M and Kiuchi F. Inhibition of prostaglandin 9biosynthesis from Dalbergiaodorifera. Chemical & Pharmaceutical Bulletin, (33), 1985, 5606-9.
- Hirose K, Jyoyama H, Kojima Y, Eigyo M, Hatakeyama H, Asanuma F, Umehara H and Yamguchi T. Pharmacological properties of 2-[4,4-(2triazolyloxy)-phenyl propionic acid (480156-5)], a new non- steroidal anti-inflammatory agent, *Arzneimittelforschung. Journal of Drug Research*, (34), 1984, 280–386.
- 35. Asif M and Kumar A. Anti-inflammatory activity of Ethanolic extract of *Dalbergiasissoo* (Roxb)Bark. *Malaysian Journal* of *Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 7(1), 2009, 39–50.